

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,596	05/17/2004	David B. Riggs	FIS920010074	3595
29371 75	590 09/07/2006		EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN LLP - IBM FISHKILL 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH			MARKOFF, ALEXANDER	
BLOOMFIELD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/709,596	RIGGS ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit	_				
		Alexander Markoff	1746					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	<u>ine 2006</u> .						
2a)⊠	☑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-4,6,7 and 9 is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-4, 6, 7 and 9 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 5	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachmeni	r(e)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
•	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	Patent Application					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 6, 7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The applicants amended the claims to recite the step of implanting dopant ions. This introduces new matter into the claims. The original disclosure fails to support a method wherein implanting dopant ions in selected areas is conducted subsequent to implantation dopant ions into the surface and prior to any other manufacturing process.
- 3. The amendment filed 6/14/06 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

The applicants amended the claims to recite the step of implanting dopant ions.

This introduces new matter into disclosure. The original disclosure fails to support a method wherein implanting dopant ions in selected areas is conducted subsequent to implantation dopant ions into the surface and prior to any other manufacturing process.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,596

Art Unit: 1746

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Galie et al (Us Patent No 4,541,168).

Galie et al teach a method as claimed. The method comprises application of acetone, heating and rinsing as claimed. See entire document, especially column 3, line 45 – column 5, line 30.

6. Claims 1-4, 6, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saxena (US Patent NO 3,599,323).

Saxena teaches a method as claimed. The method comprises application of acetone, rinsing and heating as claimed. See entire document, especially column 1, line 66 – column 2, line 26 and claims 1 and 2.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 6/14/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicants argue that the rejection over Galie et al is not proper. The applicants allege that Galie et al do not teach cleaning with ketones subsequent to ion implantation.

This is not persuasive because in contrast to the applicant's statement, Galie et al teach application of acetone, heating and rinsing as claimed subsequent to implantation of ions. With respect to claims 1-4, 6 and 7, it is noted that the claims recite a method comprising implanting and coating with the solvent, the method is conducted subsequent to ion implantation. The claims do not exclude any steps between the steps implanting and coating. Galie et al teach such method. As to claim 9, the examiner would like to note that this claim does not even comprise the requirements of to conduct the method of cleaning comprising implanting and coating with the solvent prior to any other manufacturing step.

The applicants argue that the rejection over Saxena is not proper. The applicants allege that Saxena does not teach actively ion implanting dopant ions.

This is not persuasive. In contrast to the applicants statement Saxena teaches implanting ions by diffusion. The claims do not exclude any way of implanting ions. It is again noted that the claims recite a method comprising implanting and coating with the solvent, the method is conducted subsequent to ion implantation. The claims do not exclude any steps between the steps implanting and coating. Claim 9 does not even comprise the requirements of to conduct the method of cleaning comprising implanting and coating with the solvent prior to any other manufacturing step.

## Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1746

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Markoff whose telephone number is 571-272-1304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Alexander Markoff **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1746

AM

**ALEXANDER MARKOFF** PRIMARY EXAMINER